

# THE BURDEN OF PROOF IN COMPARATIVE AND INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW

Civil and Common Law Approaches  
with Special Reference to the  
American and German Legal Systems

by

Juliane Kokott

*LL.M. (Am. Univ.), S.J.D. (Harvard)*  
*University of Diisseldorf School of Law, Germany*

KLUWER LAW INTERNATIONAL  
THE HAGUE / LONDON / BOSTON

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note by the Series Editor.....	XIII
Author's Preface.....	XVII
Uncommon Abbreviations.....	XXI
Introduction.....	1

## Chapter 1

### Comparative Law Analysis: The American and the German Systems

I.	The Two Kinds of Burden of Proof.....	9
1.	Adversarial and Inquisitorial Systems.....	9
2.	The Distribution of the Burden of Proof under German Law - The "Norm Theory".....	11
a)	Content of the "Norm Theory".....	11
b)	The (German) "Norm Theory" and Public Litigation.....	12
aa)	Objective Character of Human Rights.....	12
bb)	The "Norm Theory" Is Tied to the German Civil Code.....	14
3.	The Burden of Proof in Constitutional Law.....	14
4.	Reasons for Overlooking the Burden of Persuasion in German Law.....	15
5.	Universal Field of Application of the Burden of Persuasion .	16
II.	Different Standards of Proof.....	18
1.	Modern Tendencies in German Law.....	18
2.	Constitutional Underpinnings of Alternative Standards of Proof.....	19
3.	Application of Various Standards of Proof under American Law.....	19
4.	Interrelationship between the Measure and the Burden of Proof.....	20
III.	Interrelationship between the Effectiveness of Human Rights and the Rules on Proof.....	23
1.	The Dilemma before the (German) Courts.....	23

## VI

2. Human Rights and the Measure of Proof .....	25
3. Human Rights and the Allocation of the Burden of Proof .....	25
4. <i>In Re Winship</i> , Harlan, J., concurring.....;	26
5. The Need to Be Principled.....	28
IV. Shortcuts to Proof .....	30
1. Introduction .....	30
2. Judicial Notice .....	31
a) Rule 201.....	31
b) "Legislative Facts".....	33
c) Judicial Notice of Legislative Facts .....	35
d) Potential Abuse of Judicial Notice .....	35
3. Legislative Facts as Law .....	37
a) Importance of the ' Distinction between Fact and Law. . . .	37
b) Erosion of the Classical Distinction between Law and Facts.....	37
c) Social Science Research as Law.....	38
4. Conclusions: Legislative Facts and the Burden of Proof . . . .	39
V. The Presumption of Constitutionality.....	40
1. Introduction.....	40
2. Burden of Proof and the Presumption of Constitutionality ...	40
3. Burden of Proof and, Standards of Scrutiny.....	42
4. Justifying a Presumption of Constitutionality.....	43
a) Superior Fact-Finding by the Legislature.....	45
b) Better Quality of Statutory Law.....	47
c) Distribution of Functions between the Legislature and a Constitutional Court.....	48
aa) The Special Character of Constitutional Jurisdiction	48
bb) The Representation Argument .....	50
cc) The Argument about Politics and Law.....	51
dd) Two Coordinate Branches of Government .....	52
ee) Different Standards of Scrutiny.....	53
VI. Decisions by the Executive.....	55
VII. Conclusions to Chapter 1.....	57

## Chapter 2

### The Burden of Proof in American Constitutional Law

Introduction .....	61
I. Freedom of Speech and the Press and the Burden of Proof . . . .	63
1. Introduction .....	63
2. Some Remarks on Constitutional Interpretation .....	63
a) "Interpretivism" \ Original Intent .....	64
b) "Noninterpretivism" \ Nonoriginalism ..'	66
c) "Neutral Principles" .....	70
3. Choosing a Particular Doctrinal Approach and its Effects on the Burden of Persuasion — The Absolute Theory and Balancing .....	71
a) Who Does the Balancing? .....	72
b) The Burden of Persuasion under the Absolute Doctrine ..	73
c) The Burden of Persuasion under a Balancing Approach ..	75
aa) Again — Who Does the Balancing? .....	75
bb) What Does Balancing Mean ? .....	77
4. Burden of Proof and the Rank of the First Amendment . . . .	78
a) Introduction .....	78
b) The First Amendment as a Preferred Freedom - the Functions of the First Amendment .....	78
aa) Self-Expression .....	79
bb) The Marketplace Model .....	80
aaa) Attainment of Truth .....	80
bbb) Peaceful Change .....	81
ccc) Self-Government .....	81
c) A Preferred Position and the Burden of Proof .....	82
d) Different Categories of Speech and the Burden of Proof .	84
aa) Political Speech .....	85
aaa) Core Values and the Burden of Proof .....	85
bbb) Definitional Problems .....	90
ccc) Strict Scrutiny .....	91
bb) Commercial/Corporate Speech .....	92
aaa) Economics and the Functions of the First Amendment .....	92
bbb) The Scope of Protection .....	94
ccc) The Standard of Scrutiny .....	95
cc) Obscenity and Pornography .....	96
aaa) Obscenity and the Burden of Proof .....	96

bbb) Definitional Problems.....	100
ccc) Categories.....	102
5. Concluding Remarks.....	104
II. Equal Protection.....	105
1. The Irrebuttable Presumption Doctrine.....	105
2. Discriminatory Intent/Motivation of Legislation.....	108
a) Discriminatory Intent and the Burden of Proof: The Potential Field of Application.....	108
b) The Objective Approach versus "Discriminatory Intent of Legislatures.....	111
3. Proof of Discrimination by Administrators.....	112
4. "Suspect Classifications", Standards of Scrutiny, and the Burden of Proof.....	114
a) Suspect Classifications and Strict Scrutiny.....	115
aa) Empirical Reasons for Suspiciousness.....	115
bb) "Discrete and Insular Minorities".....	115
b) Scope of Application of the "Suspect Classification" — Strict Scrutiny Doctrine.....	116
aa) Race and Ethnic Ancestry.....	116
bb) Gender.....	117
cc) Affirmative Action.....	118
aaa) Affirmative Action and the Reasons for Strict Scrutiny.....	118
bbb) The Problem of "Benign" Classifications.....	119
ccc) Race as a Factor.....	119
ddd) Discrimination against Majority People?.....	120
eee) Conclusions on Affirmative Action.....	121
dd) Fundamental Rights.....	121
5. Conclusions.....	122
III. A Related Subject: The Weight of the Burden of Persuasion ...	124
1. Burdens of Proof, Degrees of Belief.,.....	124
2. Areas of Application of the Different Degrees of Persuasion.....	126
a) Persuasion beyond a Reasonable Doubt.....	126
aa) Persuasion beyond a Reasonable Doubt, a Corollary of the Presumption of Innocence.....	126
bb) Affirmative Defenses.....	127
b) Preponderance of the Evidence.....	128
c) Clear and Convincing Evidence.....	128

aa)	Denaturalization, Deportation.....	128
bb)	Involuntary Civil Commitment .....	130
aaa)	The Legal and Medical Viewpoints. . . >.....	130
bbb)	<i>Addington v. Texas</i> .....	131
cc)	What Does "Clear and Convincing Evidence" Mean?.....	134
IV.	Conclusions to Chapter 2.....	136

### Chapter 3

#### The Burden of Proof in International Human Rights Law

Introduction .....	141
I. The Two Kinds of Burden of Proof.....	148
1. Terminological Problems.....	148
2. The Burden of Going forward with Evidence and the Persuasion Burden .....	150
3. The Burden of Proof in Investigatory Proceedings. „.....	152
a) The Duty to Cooperate.....	153
b) A Burden of Persuasion Only.....	155
4. Universality of the Burden of Persuasion .....	155
II. The Prohibition of <i>Non-Liquet</i> under International Law.....	157
1. <i>Non-Liquet</i> as Applied to Facts and Law.....	157
2. <i>Lacunae</i> (Gaps) or Completeness of the International Legal Order.....	160
3. The Negative Principle, Rules of Interpretation, and the Burden of Proof.....	162
4. Doubts about a General Negative Principle.....	163
a) The Principle of Sovereignty.....	163
b) Human Rights.....	165
5. Conclusions.....	167
III. The Voluntary Character of International Jurisdiction and <i>Non-Liquet</i> .....	169
1. <i>Non-Liquet</i> and Denial of Justice.....	169
2. <i>Non-Liquet</i> and the Compromis.....	170
3. <i>Non-Liquet</i> and Justiciability.....	171

IV.	The Categories of Plaintiff and Defendant.....	174
V.	Adversarial or Investigatory Character of International Proceedings.....	177
1.	Impact on the Burden of Proof.....	177
2.	Theoretical and Ideological Underpinnings of Adversarial/ Investigatory (Inquisitorial) Systems.....	179
a)	Ideology or the Position of Judges.....	179
aa)	National Law Background.....	179
bb)	International Law.....	180
b)	Expediency.....	182
c)	Coordination of Substantive and Procedural Law.....	182
3.	International Practice.....	184
a)	<i>Onus Probandi Actori Incumbit</i> .....	184
aa)	ACivil Law Rule?.....	184
bb)	Autonomous Interpretation.....	186
cc)	Investigatory Power/Duty of International Courts ..	187
dd)	Duty to Cooperate.....	188
b)	The Investigatory/Inquisitorial Nature of Human Rights Proceedings.....	191
4.	Conclusions.....	194
VI.	Free Evaluation of Evidence and the Measure of Proof.....	196
1.	Impact of the Measure of Proof on the Burden of Proof . . .	196
2.	Free Evaluation of Circumstantial Evidence, Inferences, and "Evidence beyond a Reasonable Doubt".....	197
3.	Background of the Requirement of "Evidence beyond a Reasonable Doubt".....	199
4.	"Clear and Convincing Evidence"?.....	200
a)	International Cases.....	200
b)	The Cases in a Larger Context .....	202
c)	Conclusions.....	204
5.	Conclusions.....	205
VII.	The Burden of Persuasion in International Human Rights Law	207
1.	Introduction.....	207
2.	Some Background: The Law of Aliens.....	208
3.	The Need for a Special Approach to Human Rights.....	209
4.	The Principle of Sovereignty and the Effective Protection of Human Rights.....	211
a)	Interpretation Rules and the Burden of Proof.....	211

b) Restrictive Approach to Human Rights ("Sovereignty") or Broad Interpretation.....	213
c) Sovereignty and Human Rights as Corollaries.....	214
d) Conclusions.....	215
5. International Fact-Finding and the Local Remedies Rules...	216
6. The Margin of Appreciation.....	218
a) The Margin and the Burden of Persuasion.....	218
b) Indispensability, but Problematic Nature of the Margin of Appreciation.....	220
c) Conclusions.....	221
7. Thoughts about the Margin of Appreciation under the European Convention on Human Rights.....	222
a) The State of Emergency.....	222
b) "Necessary in a Democratic Society " (Arts. 8-11, paras. 2) ....:	223
aa) Common European*Standards.....	224
bb) "Fundamental Rights".....	229
VIII. Conclusions to Chapter 3.....	234
Concluding Remarks.....	237
Table of Cases.....	239
Bibliography.....	243
Index.....	287