

# Decision Making for Complex Socio-Technical Systems

## Robustness from Lessons Learned in Long-Term Radioactive Waste Governance

by

Thomas Flueler

*Institute of Human-Environment Systems,  
Institute of Natural and Social Science Interface (NSSI/UNS) ETH, Zurich,  
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology ETH, Zurich,  
and  
Independent Environmental Consultant,  
Umweltrecherchen & -gutachten,  
Hausen AG, Switzerland*

*fyj* Springer

ENVIRONMENT & POLICY

VOLUME 42

*The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.*

# Contents

Preface	vii
Summary	ix
Table of Contents (condensed)	xiii
Table of Contents	xv
List of figures	xxi
List of tables	xxiii
Abbreviations	xxv
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>xxix</b>

## PART I: OVERALL ISSUE AND METHODOLOGY

<b>Chapter 1: Setting and topics at issue</b>	<b>3</b>
1. Historical milestones	3
2. Radioactive waste in society as a political topic and reflection of value	9
3. Radioactive waste in science and technology: long-term dimension as a challenge to risk analysis	13
4. Complex socio-technical "radioactive waste system"	18
<b>Chapter 2: Objectives and aim</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Chapter 3: Research political embedding</b>	<b>25</b>

<b>Chapter 4: Issues under investigation, evidence and validation</b>	<b>29</b>
1. Theoretical basis	29
1.1 Analysis "from below": risk perception of the public	29
1.2 Analysis "from above": multi-dimensional decision strategy	29
2. Concrete issues	30
3. Methodical basis	31
3.1 Principles	31
3.2 Methods: historical-critical source analysis and content analysis	32
4. Operationalisation of issues and utilisation of theoretical constructs	33
5. Data gathering, evidence	36
6. Validation	37
6.1 Reliability	38
6.2 Validity	38
6.3 Open issues	40

## **PART II: PERSPECTIVE "FROM BELOW": RISK PERCEPTION OF THE PUBLIC**

<b>Chapter 5: Insights from risk perception research</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>Chapter 6: Risk perception in radioactive waste issues</b>	<b>51</b>
1. Transfer of criteria to radioactive waste issues	51
2. Risk notion	54
2.1 Risk definition	54
2.2 Risk analysis	55
2.3 Risk concern	57
2.4 Empirical findings on risk	59
3. Type of hazard	61
3.1 (Amount of) damage potential	61
3.2 Emergence/onset of impacts, time dimensions	64
3.3 Scientific uncertainties/controversies	64
3.4 Experience with danger	67
3.5 Voluntariness and inevitability	69
3.6 Individual controllability, damage defence	70
3.7 Reversibility of actions	71
3.8 "Commonplace character", familiarity	72
3.9 Perceivability to the senses	72
3.10 Empirical findings on hazard	72

4. Societal context	80
4.1 Benefit and responsibility: Possible strategies of main stakeholders	80
4.2 Spatial and temporal risk distribution, concern, participation in procedure	83
4.3 Degree of information, understanding, knowledge	86
4.4 Credibility, trust	87
4.5 Empirical findings on social context	90

### **PART III: PERSPECTIVE "FROM ABOVE": DECISION PROCESSES**

#### **Chapter 7: Insights from decision research 101**

1. Preliminary remarks	101
2. Decision, problem, information, and uncertainty	102
3., Ill-defined problems	105
4. Criteria of decision science	106

#### **Chapter 8: Development of decision making in technical systems 113**

1. Early days (until 1960s)	114
2. Emergence of (probabilistic) risk analysis (1970s)	116
3. Social rationality	118

#### **Chapter 9: Decisions in radioactive waste governance 123**

1. Transfer of criteria to radioactive waste issues	123
2. System understanding	125
2.1 Empirical findings on system understanding	127
3. Avoidance of logical fallacies and biases	128
3.1 Empirical findings on logical fallacies and biases	129
4. Consideration and adaptation of problem structures	130
4.1 Empirical findings on problem structures	132
5. Decomposition into subsystems and re-integration	136
5.1 Empirical findings on decomposition and re-integration	137
6. Goal relations investigation, complex goals	138
6.1 Empirical findings on goal relations	140

1. Adequate management of diverse levels	142
7.1 Empirical findings on adequate-level management	142
8. Decisions under uncertainty	144
8.1 Empirical findings on decisions under uncertainty	147
9. Co-operation problem	148
9.1 Empirical findings on co-operation problems	149
10. Utilisation of latency periods as opportunities	149
10.1 Empirical findings on latency periods	150

## **Chapter 10: *Siting as an example of su£-opt/mum decision making* .. 151**

1. General	151
2. Siting of a low-level radioactive waste disposal facility	154
3. Siting of a high-level radioactive waste disposal facility	161
4. Conclusions with regard to siting procedure	167

## **PART IV: CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DEVELOPMENT**

## **Chapter 11: Patterns of arguments in radioactive waste governance 175**

1. Document analysis (Objective 1a)	175
2. Patterns of arguments (Objective 1b)	175
2.1 Compilation of arguments	176
2.2 Findings	177
2.2.1 Decision-making process	177
2.2.2 Improvement of system	181
3. Comparison with decision strategies in other countries	185
3.1 Analysis by country	185
3.2 International organisations, research and development	192

## **Chapter 12: Fundamentals of a comparison of disposition options 201**

1. Variants as bases of decisions	201
2. Ecological, temporal and spatial dimensions	203
3. Ethical dimension	204
4. Societal and political dimensions	209
5. Economic dimension	215

6. Technical dimension: implementation	218
7. Discussion: on the search for a well-supported decision	222

**Chapter 13: Integrated risk analysis: outline of an overall system robustness** **231**

1. Need for an integration of aspects: General remarks on dealing with dissenting views	231
2. Technical robustness	233
3. Societal robustness owing to an extended decision model	243
4. Approach to robustness of the overall "radioactive waste system"	264
5. Special role of the regulatory bodies	269
6. Requirements in view of an inclusive technical-scientific and societal discourse	274
7. Conclusion	276

**Acknowledgements** **281**

**References** **283**

1. Primary literature	283
2. Secondary literature	297
2.1 Methods (M)	297
2.2 Decision science, learning organisations, institutional aspects (D)	302
2.3 Risk perception (R)	306
2.4 Radioactive Waste: General, international (G)	311
2.5 Radioactive waste: Meta-analyses of decision processes (MA)	328

**Index** **333**