

Corporate Collaboration 2.0 Maturity Model

D I S S E R T A T I O N

of the University of St. Gallen,
School of Management,
Economics, Law, Social Sciences
and International Affairs
to obtain the title of
Doctor of Philosophy in Management

submitted by

Stefanie Jansz

from

Germany

Approved on the application of

Prof. Dr. Robert Winter

and

Prof. Dr. Franz Lehner

Dissertation no. 4277

Shaker, Aachen, Germany, 2016

Table of Contents

Abstract	xiii
Zusammenfassung	xv
List of Figures	xvii
List of Tables	xxi
List of Abbreviations	xxvii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Motivation and Problem Description	1
1.2 Research Questions and Objectives	5
1.3 Research Scope	8
1.4 Research Strategy	10
1.5 Relevance of the Intended Research	13
1.6 Structure of the Dissertation	14
2 Scientific Foundations	17
2.1 Web 2.0, Enterprise 2.0, and Collaboration 2.0 – Terminologies and Concepts	17
2.1.1 Web 2.0 and Social Software	21
2.1.2 Enterprise 2.0 and Enterprise Social Software	34
2.1.3 Collaboration 2.0 and Knowledge Management 2.0	38
2.1.4 Conclusion and Contribution for the Intended Research	43
2.2 Organizational Design and Engineering	44
2.2.1 Business Engineering	45
2.2.2 Methods and Method Engineering	50
2.2.2.1 Situational Method Engineering	52
2.2.2.2 Reuse and Adaptation Mechanisms in Situational Method Engineering	56
2.2.2.3 Construction Process for Situational Adaptable Methods	59
2.2.3 (Reference) Models and Reference Modeling	60
2.2.3.1 Situational (Reference) Modeling	63
2.2.3.2 Reuse and Adaptation Mechanisms in Reference Modeling	64
2.2.3.3 Construction Process for Situational Adaptable Reference Models	67
2.2.4 Conclusion and Contribution for the Intended Research	68
2.2.4.1 Toward a Convergence of Methods and Models and Their Related Research Disciplines	69
2.2.4.2 Relevance for the Intended Research	73

3 Related Research and Existing Approaches	75
3.1 Related Research	75
3.1.1 Approaches for Measuring Organizational Adoption of Collaboration 2.0	75
3.1.2 Related Research on Collaboration 2.0 Maturity Models	78
3.2 Basics of Maturity Models	80
3.2.1 Terminology	80
3.2.2 Roots of Maturity Models	81
3.2.3 Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI)	83
3.2.3.1 The CMMI Model	84
3.2.3.2 The CMMI Appraisal	88
3.2.3.3 The CMMI Framework	89
3.3 Conclusion and Contribution for the Intended Research	90
4 Objectives and Methodology of the Maturity Model Construction	93
4.1 State of Research on Maturity Model Design	93
4.1.1 Maturity Models in Design Science Research	93
4.1.2 Approaches to Construct (and Evaluate) a Maturity Model	95
4.1.3 Approaches to Construct a Situational Adaptable Maturity Model	98
4.2 Construction Objectives	104
4.3 Construction Approach	110
5 State of Practice	113
5.1 Case Study Research – Companies Introducing an Enterprise Social Software Platform	113
5.1.1 Data Collection and Analysis Methods	114
5.1.2 Intermediary Summary and Critical Reflection	118
5.2 Success and Barrier Factors Relating to Collaboration 2.0	119
5.2.1 Data Collection and Analysis Methods	122
5.2.2 Success, Barrier, and Essential Factors Relating to Collaboration 2.0 from Literature Review	123
5.2.3 Findings from Literature Review	125
5.2.4 Success, Barrier, and Essential Factors Relating to Collaboration 2.0 from Case Studies Research	125
5.2.5 Findings from Case Study Research	126
5.2.6 Consolidated Findings	126
5.2.7 Intermediate Summary and Critical Reflection	130

6 Construction of the Situational Adaptable Maturity Model	133
6.1 Construction of the Generic Maturity Model	134
6.1.1 Definition of the Focus Layers and Focus Areas	139
6.1.2 Definition of the Dimensions	140
6.1.2.1 Dimension D0: Ability to Perform	142
6.1.2.2 Dimension D1: Planning	142
6.1.2.3 Dimension D2: Organizing	144
6.1.2.4 Dimension D3: Staffing	144
6.1.2.5 Dimension D4: Directing	145
6.1.2.6 Dimension D5: Controlling	146
6.1.3 Definition of the Improvement Areas and Improvement Activities	147
6.1.3.1 Strategy (Y)	153
6.1.3.2 Structure (U)	170
6.1.3.3 Employees (E)	174
6.1.3.4 Processes (P)	189
6.1.3.5 Information Technology (T)	207
6.1.4 Definition of the Maturity and Capability Levels	213
6.1.4.1 Definition of the Maturity Levels	213
6.1.4.2 Definition of the Capability Levels	215
6.1.4.3 Interdependencies of Maturity Levels and Capability Levels	215
6.1.5 Intermediate Summary and Critical Reflection	219
6.2 Situational Configuration of the Generic Maturity Model	220
6.2.1 Identification of Contingency Factors – Factors Increasing Collaboration Complexity	221
6.2.1.1 Identification of Context Type Factors (CTF)	222
6.2.1.2 Proposal of Potential Project Type Factors (PTF)	235
6.2.2 Cluster Analysis: Grouping Organizations According to Their Collaboration Complexity	236
6.2.2.1 Data Collection	236
6.2.2.2 Data Preparation	239
6.2.2.3 Data Analysis	243
6.2.2.4 Findings	250
6.2.3 Description of the Set of Occurring Situations	253
6.2.4 Configuration Rules	256

6.2.5 Intermediate Summary and Critical Reflection	261
6.3 Operationalization of the Maturity Model	263
6.3.1 Configuration of the Maturity Model	264
6.3.2 Data Collection and Maturity Analysis	266
6.3.3 Maturity Assessment and Result Presentation	269
7 Evaluation of the Maturity Model	273
7.1 Iterative Evaluation and Redesign	274
7.2 Empirical Evaluation	275
7.3 Analytical Evaluation	282
8 Conclusion	287
8.1 Results Achieved	287
8.2 Critical Reflection	289
8.3 Future Research	291
Appendix	293
Appendix A. Naming Conventions	293
Appendix B. Situational Adaptation with Context Types or Project Types – The Impact of Using Either of Them	295
Appendix C. Proof of Literature Research	299
Appendix D. Interview Guide and Details of the Case Studies	304
Case Study A: Procurement Platform	307
Case Study B: Global Intranet and Extranet	321
Case Study C: Collaboration Work Place and Global Publication Channels	322
Case Study D: Administrative Platform	323
Case Study E: Global Knowledge Management	324
Case Study F: Enterprise Search	326
Case Study G: Exploring Enterprise Social Software	327
Appendix E. Success, Barrier, and Essential Factors Relating to Collaboration 2.0	329
Appendix F. Cluster Analysis I: Determining Cultural Groups Based on Hofstede's Dataset.,	343
Appendix G. Survey Questionnaire: Cluster Analysis II	355
Appendix H. Cluster Analysis II: Grouping Organizations According to Their Collaboration Complexity	371
Appendix I. Survey Questionnaire: Expert-based Model Evaluation	391
References	397